

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

HONOURING BID'ATIS IS HARAAM

Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said:

“He who honours a man of bid'ah has aided in the demolition of Islam.”

A CONCERNED BROTHER FROM U.K. WRITES:

“I would be grateful if *The Majlis* could provide some valuable comment on the following Malfooz:

“Hakim al-Islam Qari Muhammad Tayyib Sahib (rahmatullahi alayhi) relates:

‘I witnessed Mawlana Thanawi (rahmatullahi ‘alayhi) differering with Mawlana Ahmad Ridha Khan marhoom in many issues, such as qiyam, ‘urs, milad etc., but whenever mentioning him in a gathering he would say “Mawlana Ahmad Ridha Khan Sahib”. Once a person in the gathering said “Ahmad Ridha”, without using the title mawlana. Hadhrat rebuked him and said angrily, “He is still a scholar, even if we differ with him. You are disrespecting his position; how is this permissible? Our difference of opinion is in its place. It is a different matter that we consider him to be wrong and do not agree with him. But what is the meaning of humiliating him and disrespecting him?”

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

'The Mawlana opposed to Mawlana Thanawi (rahmatullahi 'alayhi) was extremely disrespectful. But Mawlana Thanawi (rahmatullahi 'alayhi) was from the people of knowledge. Whenever someone was mentioned, he considered respect to be imperative, even though it is of an open opponent. One should not lose hold of respect.'

This Malfooz is being propagated with great relish by Mudaahins (spineless, toadish psycophants and bootlickers) who have a Nafsaani inclination to a pet deviant or to some deviant group(s). Numerous websites have cited this Malfooz within a short space of time.

The term 'respect' can have various connotations. However, it is clear that the *Mudaahins* who are exploiting this Malfooz intend the meaning that is Haraam according to the Shariah. One popular modernist "Maulana" who is liberal in his praise for, and interaction with certain segments of the Ahlul Bid'ah, issued the following message to accompany this Malfooz:

"The term "Mawlana" is an honorific title and it's used for respect. The following anecdote, in the Urdu picture, is a good exemplification of respect despite having differences."

Rather than resorting to a variety of Ta'weels (interpretation) that can easily be made in regards to this incident that occurred in a private setting, in order to exonerate Hazrat Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanwi from the act of conferring respect to a man of Bid'ah, the Mudaahins are exploiting this Malfooz to justify transgressing a well-known ruling of the Shariah whilst also attempting to implicate Hazrat Maulana Thanwi (rahmatullahi alayh) in their crime.

The Fuqaha have conveyed *Ijma'* on the prohibition of conferring respect to a *Mudhil* (one who misguides), even if he is from Ahlus Sunnah, let alone one who is outside the fold of Ahlus Sunnah. Imaam Ghazaali (Rahmatullah alayh), one from many who could be quoted, said:

"All of them (i.e. the Salaf-us-Saaliheen) reached consensus on manifesting hatred for the oppressors and innovators, and all who disobeyed Allah with a sin that extends from himself to others because, verily the evil of the kaafir is not contagious, for verily, Muslims are aware of his kufr, hence they will not heed what he says since he does not attribute Islam to himself nor the belief of Haqq. However, the Bid'ati who calls to bid'ah and believes that he is calling to the haqq, is a cause for the deviation of people, hence his evil is contagious. Despising him, vilifying him for his bid'ah, and to inculcate aversion in people for him are of greater importance (than disparaging the kuffaar)."

Even if, for argument's sake, no possible Ta'weel could be provided to exonerate Hazrat Maulana Thanwi, then to exploit this incident to justify transgressing a rule of the Shariah, rather than set it aside as an error, is the very essence of Hazrat-worship. *(The jaahil opportunists are not worshipping Hadhrat Thanvi. In fact, they have no affinity with him. They are merely misusing Hadhrat Thanvi's malfooth for justifying their compromise with baatil and its votaries.*

–The Majlis)

In fact, this trend of extracting a Haraam interpretation from statements of the Ulama, which can be subject to various legitimate interpretations, in order to override the Shariah is becoming increasingly common today. Furthermore, the liberals

(those suffering from the malady of compound ignorance – The Majlis)

of this age have made a vile habit of scavenging for the slips and *tafarrudaat*

(isolated eccentricities) of senior Ulama from the past. Then they employ such *tafarrudaat*

to justify baatil and bid'ah, thus flagrantly violating even those rulings of the Shariah which have

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

been established by the Consensus of the Fuqaha.

In response to this Malfooz, one Mufti issued the following message:

"In Muhannad, Ahmad Rida Khan is referred to as a "Muharrif" (distorter) and a "Dajjal-Makkaar" (scheming dajjal). Hazrat Thanawi was a signatory of Muhannad. (This fact alone cancels the ambiguous malfooth. It is tantamount to a retraction by Hadhrat Thanvi – Rahmatullah alayh – The Majlis)

In al-Shihaab al-Thaaqib, Hazrat Madani refers to Ahmad Rida Khan as "Mujaddid al-Takfir", "Dajjal", amongst other terms.

This attitude makes more sense from the perspective of expressing bugdh for Ahl al-Bida and those who call to misguidance.

"Whoever shows respect to a Saahib Bid'ah has aided in the destruction of Islam." (i.e. whoever

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

does this in a public way)" – (In fact, even in privacy – The Majlis) – (End of the Brother's letter)

OUR RESPONSE

Ahmed Ridha Khan was an incorrigible Bid'ati – a destroyer of the Sunnah and a demolisher of the Deen. About such bid'atis, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: *"He who respects a man of bid'ah aids in the demolition (destruction) of Islam."*

Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) also said:
"Bughd (hatred) is for the Sake of Allah."

When a man is involved in the elimination of the Sunnah and the structuring and introduction of Bid'ah, he is the enemy of Allah Ta'ala. Bid'atis are referred to in the Hadith as *Kilaabun Naar (The Dogs of the Fire)*.

How is it possible to abrogate this Hadith with an error or an opinion of a senior?

The instruction to have hatred for the sake of Allah Ta'ala, brings within its purview Bid'atis, and to a greater degree Bid'atis of the calibre of Ahmed Ridha Khan who was the imaam of Bid'ah, a *Muharrif* (an interpolater of falsehood), *Dajjaal*, *Makkaar* (Deceit), *Mujaddid-e-Takfeer* (Renewer/Reviver of branding Muslims kaafir), etc. These were designations conferred on him by some of our Akaabir Ulama.

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

Furthermore there is *Ijma'* of the Fuqaha on the issue of not honouring a Bid'ati. In the light of the Ahaadith and the *Ijma'*, the view of Hadhrat Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh) has to be incumbently set aside as an error based on lack of information regarding the true beliefs of Ahmed Ridha Khan, or an idiosyncrasy. Even great Fuqaha sometimes display peculiar views as an effect of their *tabiyat (natural disposition)* which sometimes overshadows reality and rectitude. Regarding such idiosyncratic views, Allaamah Abdul Wahhaab Sha'raani (Rahmatullah alayh) as well as other illustrious Fuqaha, said:

"He who holds on to the nawaadir of the Ulama, verily, he has made an exit from Islam."

Some decades ago, Maulana Manzoor No'maani (Rahmatullah alayh) had visited South Africa. He was our guest. He was famous for his debates with the Bid'atis. He personally mentioned to us:

"I went to visit Hadhrat Thanvi in Thanabovan. Hadhrat Thanvi said to me:

'It appears to me that misinformation has reached him (referring to Ahmad Ridha) about us, hence the misunderstanding. How is it possible for him to accuse us of so much falsehood despite being an Aalim?'

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

From this statement, it is clear that Hadhrat Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh) had no clarity of the *shaitaaniyat* of Ahmed Ridha Khan. Maulana Manzoor No'maani then responded as follows:

*"Hadhrat! It is not an issue of misunderstanding. The fact is that Allah Ta'ala has made **maskh** of his aql. Hadhrat Thanvi then did not comment further."*

Maskh means disfigurement. In other words, Ridha Khan's were convoluted to the extent of totally blinding him of the Haqq and understanding baatil to be haqq.

On account of this deviate's profession of *takfeer* and slander of great Auliya, his intelligence suffered from the pangs of satanic convolution. Thus, his ability of distinguishing between Haqq and baatil, Sunnah and bid'ah was extinguished. His deliberate lies and mutilation of the texts of our Akaabireen to cloak it with his fabricated theories of kufr, clearly reveals his *dajjaaliyat*

. Whatever rubbish he had attributed to the Ulama of Deoband, and on which hallucinated basis he had branded these Auliya and Ulama as 'kaafir', was glaringly false. There is not an iota of truth in what he had claimed.

The spineless molvis of today who labour to strike up haraam dalliances with the Qabar Pujaaris and with every group of deviates of whatever kufr persuasion they may be, despite their academic and spiritual bankruptcy, are fully aware of the fact that the mujaddid of shaitaani

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

bid'ah, Ridha Khan, had branded **all** of the Ulama of Deoband, Akaabir as well as Asaaghir, as kaafir. This alone conspicuously evidences the divine disfigurement of his brains and the ruin of his heart. A wicked transmogrification of his intelligence was effected by his inherent Satanism.

Now what do these spineless juhhaal expect from the Ulama-e-Haqq? Do they expect us to elevate and propel the jaahil Ridha Khan into the loftiest spatial and celestial heights on the basis of Hadhrat Thanvi's error of judgment? If Hadhrat Thanvi advised a person to prefix the name of the *mudhil* agent of Iblees with the honorific title of 'Maulana', it never ever justifies respecting and honouring the devil when Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had categorically prohibited the conference of accolades to those who are designated as *Kilaabun Naar*.

The Arsh of Allah Azza Wa Jal shudders and the plot to demolish Islam is set in motion when a bid'ati is praised or honoured. Said our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam):

"He who honours a man of Bid'ah, aids in the demolition of Islam."

These moron, spineless cranks who are seeking to extravasate capital from Hadhrat Thanvi's error, are enemies of the Sunnah, hence they seek to honour morons and deviates who conspire to undermine the Deen, and the way of achieving this satanic objective unriated into their brains by the devil is to the Ulama-e-Haqq a target for criticism. These Ulama are the bulwarks of Allah's Deen. They should understand that the errors of the Ulama regardless of their lofty status, never constitute Shar'i daleel. The Sunnah is not scaled on the personal preference or attitude of an Aalim even if his soul happens to flutter around the Arsh. The Haqq is measured and ascertained on the Standard and Scale of the Qur'aan, the Sunnah and the Dalaa-il which the Aimmah Mujtahideen formulated on the basis of these two primary sources of the Shariah.

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator

Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

Maulana Manzoor No'maani (Rahmatullah alayh) was well aware of the intricacies of the shenanigans of these Bid'atis and of Ridha Khan. On the other hand, Hadhrat Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh) lacked such information which confirms the true evil bid'ati status of Ridha, hence his mild approach. Hadhrat Maulana Khalil Ahmad Saharanpuri, Hadhrat Maulana Rashid Ahmad Gangohi, Hadhrat Maulana Husain Ahmad Madani and the many other senior Ulama of Deoband were more aware of the personality of the bid'ati dajjal, hence they named and branded him with the epithets which were most deserving of him. The spineless molvis and deviates who are at pains to collaborate with bid'atis, heretics and zindeeqs seek justification for their haraam and miserable attitude on the basis of an error of Hadhrat Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh). They cast themselves into deliberate blindness regarding the beliefs of kufr, bid'ah and shirk of these Qabar Pujaaris – they pretend to be unaware of the notoriety of their rotten characters and their factory of *takfeer* – they overlook all the Satanism of the fraud, Ridha Khan, but they deem it appropriate to criticize and malign those who uphold the Sunnah.

The moron spineless molvis are stupidly using the personal idiosyncratic preference, in fact error, of Hadhrat Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh) to scuttle the *Ijma'* of the Ummah on the prohibition of honouring/praising a man of bid'ah. For these morons, Allaamah Sha'raani sounded an adequate warning:

"He who grabs hold (as daleel) of the nawaadir of the Ulama, verily has made an exit from Islam."

Even if we have to assume that Hadhrat Thanvi had in fact held the view of addressing the Bid'ati with a title of respect, it will be haraam to make taqleed of such a glaring error. All Ulama, regardless of their lofty status, even Aimmah Mujtahideen err. It is haraam to make taqleed of their errors. The Qur'aan Majeed explicitly forbids this practice of stupid 'taqleed' by means of which Bani Israaeel scuttled the Tauraah with corrupt fatwas of their Ulama.

At one stage, Hadhrat Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh) used to attend the Meelaad functions of the

HONOURING BID'ATIS

Written by Administrator
Monday, 18 February 2019 11:35 -

Bid'atis in Kanpur. He would only give a bayaan of the Seerat and leave. When Hadhrat Gangohi (Rahmatullah alayh) was informed of this, he wrote a long letter explaining the grievous error of attending the function of the Bid'atis. In several letters to and fro, Hadhrat Thanvi accepted his error and abandoned attending such functions.

There are many issues on which Hadhrat Thanvi had erred and for which he had issued retractions. The juhala molvis of our time who are traitors to the Deen and who spinelessly participate in all functions of bid'ah, fisq and fujoor, search for the errors of the seniors, which they stupidly and satanically use as 'daleel' for their haraam views and bid'ah activities. They will ignore the Ijma' of the Fuqaha and cling to the error like a dog clings to bone to deceive themselves and mislead others.

It is haraam to cite Hadhrat Maulana Thanvi's error to scuttle the direct command of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) on which is based the Ijmaa' of the Fuqaha. When all the Fuqaha and the Ahaadith prohibit honouring and respecting a man of bid'ah, then it is contumacy bordering on kufr to attempt to cancel this Ijma' on the basis of an isolated view or an error of a senior. Thus, the view expressed by Hadhrat Thanvi (Rahmatullah alayh) in the Malfooth cited by you, has no validity. It is haraam to refer to the Bid'ati Dajjaal with a title of honour. It has to be incumbently set aside.

12 Jamaadiyith Thaani 1440 – 18 February 2019