

DEFENCE OF TARIQ JAMEEL

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 09 July 2015 11:08 -

taweel good a making by Jameel Tariq Moulana of opinion good have to " (interpretation) of his actions. *(The act of public zina of a man may not be interpreted to exonerate him, for in so doing the masses are further led astray. This deviate while posing as a guide, is in fact a mudhil – one who misleads. –The Majlis)* He

went on to say that he does not find anything bad if Moulana adopted the behavior as a strategy by coming out of the shell of Moulviat (gives He . the reason that he was meeting apolitical personality and political and worldly people have lot of complaint with Moulvis.

(Likewise we have numerous complaints against these fussiaaq, fujjaar, in fact zanadiqah political 'personalities'. They are the scum of humankind, and the ulama-e-soo' are even worse. – The Majlis)

Hence he should make use of such an opportunity.

He also writes that " usool Fiqhi the quotes he Then " he Then." quoted an incident of Hazrat Syed Ahmad Shaheed (rah) from Hazrat Thanvi (rah) from Arwaah eThalathah (page 119) that he went to market and saw a prostitute and glanced at her, then later she did taubah etc. *(We take our directives from the Shariah and the Sunnah. We are not Hadhrat-Worshippers. We do not deify our seniors. Our example for adoption is Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and the Sahaabah, not the Hadhrat who looked at the face of the prostitute. Such shaaz and naadir issues should not cast the Aalim of Haqq into a conundrum. Even if we see a Hadhrat flying to the Arsh, we shall not be awed with his acts if in conflict with the Shariah. About the type of deviate molvis such as Tariq Jameel and this Sajid Khan, Allaamah Sha'taani –rahmatullah alayh – said: 'He who cites (as daleel) the nawaadir of the Ulama, has made his exit from Islam. – The Majlis)*

The Moulvi has even quoted some statements of Ahmad Raza Khan Bareilvi to refute Barelvis. This <https://m.facebook.com/AllamaSajidKhanNaqshbandi/photos/a.829984100410114.1073741828.829255457149645/856124321129425/?type=1&source=46&refid=17> is the link of the article of that Tablighi Moulvi. I would just say that the Moulvi is an example of compound ignorance.

(Most certainly he is a glaring specimen of Jahl-e-Murakkab. – The Majlis)

DEFENCE OF TARIQ JAMEEL

Written by Administrator
Thursday, 09 July 2015 11:08 -

His istidlaal (deduction) on the basis of the Ayats and Ahaadith is baatil (*and downright stupid – The Majlis*). The actions of saints are not Shar'i proof. He should remember that Hazrat Allamah Yusuf Binnori (rah) and Hazrat Moulana Sarfaraz Khan Safdar (rah) did not allow usage of Television for Tabligh all their life. Was Salaam

(End of the Brother's letter)

OUR COMMENT

The Brother's comment is adequate to debunk the utterly baseless and downright stupid arguments of Molvi Sajid Khan. A whole booklet could be written to show and refute the stupid interpretations which this wayward Molvi has made in his defence of fisq and fujoor. Miscreant ulama of this type are all signs of Qiyaamah. They are the materialization of Rasulullah's predictions in condemnation of the ulama-e-soo' of the eras in close proximity to Qiyaamah.

Instead of upholding the Haqq by way of unadulterated Amr Bil Ma'roof Nahy Anil Munkar, this Molvi spins a case for defending fisq and fujoor. In his thoughtless defence of a flagrant faasiq, the Molvi overlooks all the explicit *Nusoos* commanding the proclamation of the Haqq. If the stupid *ta'weelaat (interpretations)* of the Hadith presented by the Molvi had any validity, the door for proclaiming the BITTER TRUTH and for Amr Bil Ma'roof would be permanently closed.

Dubious diplomacy and stupid 'hikmat' are known as *Talbeesul Iblees*. This is the trademark of the ulama-e-soo' who abound in this era. The likes of Tariq Jameel and Sajid Khan are busy with the nefarious job of digging up the foundations of Islam with their dalliance with baatil, fisq, fujoor and bid'ah.

22 Ramadhaan 1436 (9 July 2015)