

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

SANHA'S OLD HAT CARRION ARGUMENT

QUESTION: I wrote to SANHA seeking information on the slaughtering system of Rainbow chicken plant. My questions were as follows:

1. How many chickens do they slaughter per day?
2. How many chickens do they slaughter per minute?
3. How many slaughterers do they have?
4. How many chickens must each slaughterer slaughter per minute?
5. What defeathering process do they use?
6. Are the chickens stunned?

SANHA refused to answer a single question. Instead of answering my questions, they sent me a very ambiguous statement which they had issued some time ago. Please comment on their statement?

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

ANSWER

SANHA refused to answer your perfectly reasonable questions because every answer to your questions will glaringly highlight the HARAAM CARRION status of the diseased rot which SANHA halaalizes. The questions are all simple and rationale, so why does this Carrion Shaitaan refuse to answer? Because SANHA is Iblees in human form, and the primary food of Iblees according to the Hadith is carrion - meat on which the Name of Allah Ta'ala is not recited.

The statement which SANHA sent to you is old carrion hat. Clutching at straws like a drowning man, SANHA had issued the carrion statement on 29 April 2013 which is the date appearing on the statement. The statement is an insult to intelligence. It conspicuously highlights SANHA's total inability to respond to the charges directed at it by the Ulama and the discerning and conscientious segment of the Muslim community. Clutching at straws, SANHA said in its carrion argument:

"The Halaal poultry slaughter process at Rainbow and commercial abattoirs of the like was initiated/or endorsed by Ulama Institutions and leading Islamic Scholars of the past. The likes of the marhoom Mufti Shafi RA, Moulana Ansaari RA, Moulana Omarjee RA, Moulana Sema r.a., Mufti Ebrahim Sanjalwi RA, Mufti Bashir Sanjalwi RA, Moulana Yunus Patel RA, Mufti Ahmed Mia RA, including many leading contemporary Ulama ??"

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Firstly, we draw attention to the brazen, filthy carrion LIE which SANHA stated in the names of the following Ulama: Mufti Ebrahim Samjalwi, Mufti Bashir Sanjalwi, Mufti and Ahmad Mia. These Ulama had unequivocally branded Rainbow chickens HARAAM. SANHA is guilty of a despicable carrion LIE for having lumped these Ulama's names together with the other lot of names. We reiterate that SANHA is a confounded carrion LIAR for claiming that these Ulama had halaalized Rainbow or any other of SANHA's or MJC's carrion plants. So vile and shocking is this LIE of SANHA, that Maulana Abdul Hamid Sahib, Principal of Darul Uloom Azaadville was constrained to issue the following statement:

***“The most important thing is to follow in their footsteps (i.e. the footsteps of the three Ulama mentioned above) . It is not proper for us to use their names for our benefit and to sell their names for this dunya's benefit. They can fix bayaans and seminars whilst they do the opposite to what they (the senior Ulama) have taught us. This is making a mockery and like making a haraam. It is like being born to your father. You take his name, but depart from the ways he has taught you.*”**

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Deceiving the public! In this point I am going to become a bit emotional. Please forgive me. I have heard a few times (from certain quarters) that Mufti Sanjalvi Saheb (rahmatullah alayh) had sanctioned commercially cut chickens and had said that such chickens are halaal. THIS IS A TOTALLY BLATANT LIE. I was with the Jamiat (i.e. the then Jamiatul Ulama of Transvaal of which Mufti Ebrahim Sanjalwi Sahib was the Ameer) very strong in those days. I was sent personally by Mufti Sahib for an inspection of various (chicken) plants.

Mufti (Ebrahim Sanjalwi) Sahib's final words were: "NOT (EVEN) ON MY DEAD BODY! IF YOU PEOPLE WANT TO CLASSIFY IT AS HALAAL, DO IT AFTER I HAVE DIED. BUT AS LONG AS I AM LIVING, I CAN NEVER SEE THESE THINGS (I.E. THE CARRION CHICKENS) BEING HALAAL."

So, people who are vying with Mufti Sahib and using his name so wrongly, saying that he had sanctioned (the carrion chickens), PLEASE FEAR ALLAH! FEAR THE DAY OF QIYAAMAHA! AND FEAR THE QABAR, PLEASE!"

The vehemence of Maulana Abdul Hamid's tone which is not his usual style of naseehat, more than adequately highlights and confirms the LIE uttered by SANHA. Nothing better than LIES are expected from those who halaalize CARRION and feed Muslims diseased, rotten Maitah.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Labouring under the notion of dead men unable to talk, SANHA ventured the carrion LIE, little realizing that there are still some Ulama alive who are aware of the reality. We too, had participated in the inspection of Rainbow carrion plant in 1970 when the aforementioned three Ulama had unequivocally branded Rainbow chickens HARAAM. They did it right at the plant.

Secondly, as far as the other Ulama are concerned, we say with Qur'aanic emphasis that we Muslims are not permitted to follow the errors, especially the egregious blunders of Ulama regardless of their stature and seniority. Allaamah Abdul Wahhaab Sha'raani (Rahmatullah alayh), the illustrious Shaafi' authority of the 9th century said:

“He who clings to (i.e. cites as daleel) to the obscurities (errors and obscure views) of the Ulama, verily, he has made his exit from Islam.”

This in fact is standard attitude and constitutes a principle in the Shariah.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Thirdly, the Qur'aan castigates and prohibits elevating the Ulama and the Mashaaikh to the level of 'godhood'. The meaning of 'godhood' in the context of the Tafseer of the aayat is to assign the *baatil* opinions of Ulama a higher status than the categorically clear and conspicuous Rulings of Allah's Shariah. Thus, the Qur'aan Majeed reprimanding the masses of Bani Israaeel, the then Muslim Ummah, says:

“They (Bani Israaeel) take their Ahbaar (molvis) and their Ruhbaan (buzroogs/sheikhs) as gods besides Allah.....”

Elaborating on this very Aayat, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) explained that

It was the evil practice of Bani Israaeel to accept and follow their *ahbaar* and *ruhbaan*

in their haraam fatwas. Since such fatwas were palatable to the nafs, the masses would readily

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

accept the process of halaalization of haraam and vice versa which the ulama of Bani Israaeel had adopted primarily for monetary gain. And, this is precisely the carrion rotten rut in which SANHA, MJC, NIHT, ICOSA, etc. are entrapped. Theyhalaalize haraam carrion only for the boodle – boodle in the forms of millions of rands for their coffers and pockets, and extracted from traders and the carrion plants suffering under blackmailing duress.

The couple of senior Ulama who are today all in their graves, had grievously erred with their utterly baseless opinions in total conflict with the Shariah. In a deluge of publications have we proven in detail the conflict between the personal, rickety, baatil views of those Ulama and the Shariah. The brazen conflict with the Shariah can be ascertained by even laymen who make a cursory perusal of the Isamic system of Thabah. From A to Z, beginning to end, the system of brutal killing in operation at all the carrion plants is HARAAM! HARAAM! HARAAM! There is not a single step in the entire devil's system of killing which conforms to the Shariah. The couple of senior Ulama of the past had rendered Islam and the Muslim community a gigantic disservice by having condoned a system of killing which Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) himself had dubbed *Shareetatus Shaitaan (the slaughtering of the devil)*.

Do we follow the Qur'aan, the Sunnah, and the Shariah explained by countless thousands of

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Fuqaha – the most senior of all senior Ulama – since the age of the Sahaabah, or do we follow the utterly baseless personal opinion of a couple of senior Ulama who lived a couple of decades ago? Even those who are still devouring these carrion chickens, should fear Allah Ta'ala and reflect. If they do, they will not fail to see the light and understand that indeed the entire system of killing is satanic and that a 100% shaitani system can never produce Halaal Tayyib food. The talk of the 'end product' to which SANHA and the Carrion Cabal desperately cling is pure faeces – swine's faeces.

We are not awed by names. Regardless of seniority and academic accomplishment, the opinions of the erring Ulama have absolutely no veracity and no acceptability in the Shariah. They have grievously erred. May Allah Ta'ala grant them Maghfirah.

SANHA, bankrupt in entirety of rational argument and Shar'i daleel, is clinging on to the carrion straw opinion for its dear life. But it must drown itself in the deluge of the stinking, rotten carrion mess.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Speaking another confounded LIE to bamboozle and befuddle the ignorant masses, SANHA alleges in its old hat carrion argument:

“SANHA has since its inception in the early 90’s further streamlined and improved on the Halaal regulatory protocols and systems laid down by our Pious Predecessors??”

We fail to understand the question marks. It appears that deep in its carrion heart SANHA doubts its own carrion ‘protocols’. The ‘streamlining and improvement’ is another dastardly carrion canard – a blatant stinking LIE. That is why, SANHA refuses to answer the simple questions which the Brother has posed. Why conceal the facts? Why attempt to sidestep the issues at hand? Why resort to chicanery and deception? Why no transparency? Simply because the facts – if the questions are answered are just too damning – too ugly – too brutal and too satanic for the Muslim’s heart.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

We state unequivocally that the killing system and the method is by far worse than what it had been some decades ago when some senior Ulama had blurted out their opinions without applying their minds, and without the slightest regard for the vile, shaitaani consequences of their lamentable and regrettable opinions. The devil's murderous killing system cannot be streamlined into halaal. Shaitaan's system remains the haraam system of Iblees irrespective of adornment. But the reality is that while in some other haraam issues cosmetic changes can be effected for deception to succeed, in the devil's system of brutal killing in operation at all commercial killing facilities, even cosmetic changes cannot be effected. There is simply no way in which the brutality and the haraam status of the carrion products can be camouflaged. It is simply too ugly, gory and brutal to conceal. That is why questions are not answered, and that is why inspections by Ulama and other responsible Muslims are not allowed. Inspections are pre-planned and the plant is doctored and an attempt is made to cosmeticize the villainous operation, but to no avail. The stench is just too horrible and intolerable, for after all, it is, as said by our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam), *Shareetatush Shaitaan*.

It is indeed lamentable that some senior Ulama had fallen victim to the nafs and others to carelessness, hence they proffered opinions to halaalize *Shareetatush Shaitaan*.

Another lament from SANHA's old hat carrion argument is:

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

"It is rather sad that each year prior to the coming of Ramadhaan, some miscreant individuals attempt to create confusion and doubt in the minds of the general public specifically targeting our mothers and sisters, on the subject of Halaal poultry and undermine and discredit the services of other mainstream Ulama and Islamic organisations."

The valid Shar'i condemnation of SANHA & Carrion Clique is not restricted to Ramadhaan. It is a requisite of *Amr Bil Ma'roof Nahyi Anil Munkar* which is a perennial obligation being voiced and disseminated all year round. However, there is a greater need to remind Muslims of the filth and destruction of consuming carrion during the Month of Ramadhaan which is the Month of the greatest auspiciousness in which one Fardh ibaadat equals 70 Fardhs of another month, and in which one Nafl is the equivalent of a Fardh of another Month. The need is greater in Ramadhaan to remind Muslims to abstain from devouring the muck and rot of carrion chickens to save their Fasts and Imaan from being egregiously contaminated by the food of Iblees, and to have mercy on their bodies by saving themselves from diseases such as cancer, heart problems, etc. caused by the *rijs* (filth) which SANHA halaalizes.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

The confusion in the community is the consequence of halaalization of carrion and bamboozling ignorant Muslims with copro-fatwas which have no truck with the Shariah. All of these copro-fatwas are the effects of personal opinion which is devoid of even a semblance of Shari' substance. There is no confusion in the Masaa-il of the Shariah. Allah's Shariah is glitteringly clear. The opinions of men unsubstantiated by the Shariah are smitten with incongruity and are the cause of confusion and satansim.

The verdict of the mainstream Ulama is that all commercially killed chickens are HARAAM CARRION. The ulama-e-soo' are SANHA's 'mainstream' ulama. They are either the followers of shaitaan or dumb devils. The mainstream Ulama are those who proclaim Allah's Deen without any ambiguity, without employing forked tongues, and without any consideration for friendship, monetary and nafsani designs.

SANHA claims: *"...mainstream ulama not only in SA but also abroad endorse the systems employed by SANHA and acknowledge its bona fides."*

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Acknowledgment by a world full of 'ulama-e-soo' who miserably fail to present their Shar'i dalaal for their putrid, carrion opinions in no way whatsoever transform SANHA's carrion mala fides into Islamic bona fides. According to the Shariah carrion fides are malafides, never bona fides. About the majority of the miscreants who fill the world, the Qur'aan Majeed states: *"And, if you follow their majority, then you too will become Musrikoon."*

The decrees of the Shariah are not based on any hallucinated 'majority' principle, least of all the majority of the miscreants, mudhilleen, zindeeqs and 'ulama-e-soo'. The Brother asked for answers to specific simple questions, not for hoodwinking tactics to pull wool over the eyes. SANHA's proffering of an old hat stupid response is nothing but an abortive attempt to pull a smokescreen to avoid answering the simple valid and extremely relevant questions.

The Shariah's laws are not determined by any majority of miscreant 'ulama' here and abroad. The Shariah is the Qur'aan and Sunnah. There is no ambiguity in the Sunnah. SANHA has no Shar'i facts, hence it is hopelessly lost and unable to present Shar'i arguments to bolster its carrion position. We have substantiated our every contention with solid facts from the Shariah's sources, and not a single molvi nor any grand mufti has been able to neutralize our dalaal to this day. Their responses are pure laymen twaddle bringing insult to their own intelligence.

Mentioning its absolutely corrupt phantom so-called 'ulama committee, SANHA says in its old

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

hat corrupt statement: *“The Committee component of SANHA reaffirms its position that Rainbow, Goldi, Romeo and other poultry plants under its jurisdiction comply with the required criteria and are certified/approved as Halaal?? “* (Again question marks by SANHA. This indicates the doubts in their own brains.

The ‘ulama’ component’ of SANHA is a laughable clique of clowns. This clique is a stupid rubber stamp which SANHA uses to deceive and bamboozle the stupid masses. SANHA dictates to the handful of imbecile molvis to whom perks are provided to toe the line. SANHA treats its molvi component as a dirty rag. It has no respect for these couple of spineless molvis who have betrayed the Deen and the Muslim community for the despicable worldly perks and monetary gains. SANHA has them hooked on to bait. These evil molvis lacking in *ilm* and spirituality have their own nafsani agendas which are partly seen to by SANHA. There is no ulama component so incompetent, imbecile and lacking in self-respect as the clique of stupid molvis who dance to SANHA’s tune. They are foul puppets of the Carrion Halaalizer. Useless rubber stamps!

We now take the liberty of answering the Brother’s questions on behalf of SANHA.

Q. How many chickens do they slaughter per day?

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

A. Rainbow alone kills 300,000 chickens daily. This was the output some years ago. It may have multiplied substantially.

Q. How many chickens do they slaughter per minute?

A. A slaughterer has to kill 40 chickens per minute. However, they killing up to 60 a minute.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Q. How many slaughterers do they have?

A. Eight killers

Q. What is the line speed?

A. The line speed is normally 180 chickens per minute for three killers. Thus each killer has to kill up to 60 per minute, and even if the speed is reduced, a killer has to kill 40 chickens per minute.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

Q. What defeathering process do they use?

A. The still alive chickens, dangling upside down, kicking and flapping are immersed in scalding water whilst the entrails are still inside the carcasses.

Q. Are the chickens stunned?

A. The chickens are cruelly electrocuted. The chickens hanging upside down are passed through a trough of electrified water. They pass through this electrified water with their heads immersed inside. This shocks and even kills them.

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator
Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

We have detailed literature available on all aspects of the Devils Slaughtering system which SANHA has certified. Anyone interested may write for these publications.

SURVIVING AND FEASTING ON LIES

SANHA'S OLD HAT ARGUMENT

Written by Administrator

Sunday, 17 January 2016 10:32 -

The dishonesty and falsity of SANHA can be gauged from the LIES it feasts on in its sordid bid to sustain some credibility. In its statement which it had first issued in 2013, SANHA brazenly stated the blatant LIE that Mufti Saljalwi and the other two Ulama had passed the carrion chickens as Halaal. In addition to ourselves, Maulana Abdul Hamid Sahib immediately laid bare the filthy LIE spoken by the Carrion SANHA shaitaan. SANHA was knocked cold by this exposure. It slinked into a fortress of silence on this specific issue. Neither did SANHA contest Maulana Abdul Hamid's categorical rejection of SANHA's LIE, nor did SANHA issue an apology and retraction. Like Iblees, it deemed silence best for its carrion image. Now after three years, SANHA again issues the very same LIE to vindicate its haram carrion trade.

In clinging to the LIE like a parasite, SANHA has further exposed its contemptibility, its dishonesty and its total untrustworthiness. Any Muslim having some skin of shame on his face, would have apologized for the deliberate LIE spoken in the names of Ulama who are in their graves.

RAINBOW, GOLDI, ROMEO AND ALL COMMERCIALY KILLED CHICKENS ARE HARAAM MAITAH (CARRION). CARRION IS SHAITAAN'S FOOD. IT IS UNFIT FOR EVEN DOGS ACCORDING TO THE SHARIAH. CARRION CHICKENS CAUSE CANCER AND A HOST OF GRAVE INCURABLE DISEASES.

5 Rabiuth Thaani 1437 - 15 January 2016