

ANALYSIS AND COMMENTS OF A LAYMAN

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 26 May 2016 10:15 - Last Updated Thursday, 26 May 2016 12:06

THE STANCE OF THE MAJLIS –

ANALYSIS AND COMMENTS OF A LAYMAN

For enlightenment, we reproduce here the analysis and comments of a Brother:

An Aalim of Tassawuf who acknowledges the Haqq that the Majlis propagates has made a comment to this effect, *when people start following the Majlis they start adopting the attitude that only the Majlis is correct and all other Ulama are wrong.*

If Hadhrat will allow me to pour out what is in my heart regarding this statement and if Hadhrat will please correct me for my own Islaah if I am wrong in anyway in my words and thoughts.

At one stage I walked around thinking that every person with the title Moulana or Mufti in front of his name is an angel and his advice must be followed, however since I started following, reading and learning from the works of Hadhrat (via the majlis publications) my eyes have opened to a few realities and a few different types of Ulama we have in South Africa.□

The 1st type are the Ulama e Haqq, they fearlessly speak the Haqq and cannot tolerate the Deen being trampled on or watered down. □ There are very few of them left in this age. □

ANALYSIS AND COMMENTS OF A LAYMAN

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 26 May 2016 10:15 - Last Updated Thursday, 26 May 2016 12:06

The 2nd type I've seen are those Ulama who know what is the Haqq, however when meeting the Ulama-e-soo they meet them with great honour and get somewhat carried away with their presence. They are almost two faced, they smile in the company of the Ulama-e-haqq and also the Ulama-e-soo. A munaafiq type attitude. They adopt the middle path.

The 3rd type I've seen are those Ulama who know truly what is the Haqq, and they speak it out in their own circles but don't have the courage to speak out or take action against the baatil.

□ They fear for some reason.□

□ This brings me to the statement the Moulana above has made, that when people join the Majlis they start thinking that all other Ulama are wrong and only the Majlis is correct. Outwardly the Moulana Saheb's observation might seem true, but if I analyze deeply then I see why the so call 'majlis' people seem to become like that. Although we are never thought by the Majlis to look down on any Aalim for his personal weaknesses, but when it comes to outward wrong and things that affect the Ummat then we have to distance ourselves from them and speak out because they are responsible for the masses, if they err the masses err.

For example, in the Majlis vol 23no7 Hadhrat published a question regarding a fatwa of Daarul Uloom Azaadville's Ifta department. Many people were outright shocked at that article, many can't believe it. If I take that photography issue for example, now what was outright Haraam for centuries has now become something that might be permissible, according to them (Azaadville Ifta). The Azaadville Muftis themselves prohibit it but seem to be comfortable with the Ulama who now turned Haraam into Halaal. Today it is photography tomorrow it is something else. They obviously don't take the crime seriously enough. It does'nt matter enough to them or it does'nt occur to them that they are responsible for leaving the door open for this sin (if they truly see it as a sin). Similarly with the Jalsahs, they don't see the wastage and suffering of the Ummat as a serious enough issue, they don't truly feel that the money could be used to feed hungry people and not already full people, hence they still attend. And a hundred more such examples can be discussed.

So as I went along on my journey with Hadhrat, the Majlis, the Ulama-e-Haqq, I've come to learn that many Ulama out there are not what they seem to be. Many are rather short sighted despite them practicing on the Haqq. They have'nt realized how influential they are as a public figure and that people will follow what the Ulama say. They pass fatwas and associate with baatil groups without realising the consequences. Now we 'majlis' people start distancing ourselves slowly, one by one from each Aalim that slips up and outwardly it seems that we have

ANALYSIS AND COMMENTS OF A LAYMAN

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 26 May 2016 10:15 - Last Updated Thursday, 26 May 2016 12:06

a problem with every Aalim and we think that only the Majlis is right. However the matter is much deeper than that.

Hadhrat Please correct me if I am wrong in anyway, but how can we promote Ulama who can't even distance themselves from the baatil groups leave alone speak out against them.

(End

of letter)

COMMENT

The respected Maulana Sahib has erred in his opinion. No one loses respect for a genuine Aalim of the Haqq. Valid difference of opinion and criticism do not detract from the elevated status of the Ulama-e-Haqq. We have differences of opinion with even senior Ulama, but we have the utmost regard and respect for them. When differences are based on solid Shar'i grounds, then such differences do not spawn disrespect.

Hadhrat Ma'roof Karkhi (Rahmatullah alayh) said: *"When an Aalim practises according to his Ilm, then the hearts of the Mu'mineen incline to him. Then, no one dislikes him except one in whose heart there lurks a diseases (i.e. the disease of nifaaq or kibr or hasad)."*

Those whom we criticize with epithets such as shaitaan, jaahil, morons, etc. do not deserve any respect because they are *mudhilleen* (mis-leaders - those who lead Muslims to Jahannam). About them, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said:

ANALYSIS AND COMMENTS OF A LAYMAN

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 26 May 2016 10:15 - Last Updated Thursday, 26 May 2016 12:06

“Verily, I fear for my Ummah the aimmah mudhilleen.”

The respected Maulana Sahib has erred in his understanding of the meaning of Ulama. He is under the impression that any person who is a ‘sheikh’ or a ‘molvi’ belongs to the Ulama Fraternity. When we speak of Ulama, the reference is to the Ulama-e-Haqq about whom Allah Ta’ala says in the Qur’aan Majeed:

“Verily, only the Ulama of Allah’s servants fear Him.”

Sheikhs and molvis of MJC, SANHA, Radio Shaitaan, NNB Jamiat Reverends and idol worshippers, and of similar other institutions of Iblees operating under Deeni guise, do not deserve respect. They are scoundrels, mudhilleen, munaafiqeen and **shayateenul ins** (human devils) who have to be exposed. The Muslim public has to be made aware of these vile agents of shaitaan so that they could be on guard. These scoundrels rob ignorant and unwary Muslims of their Imaan. They ruin Islamic morality. A man with a title such as ‘sheikh’ or molvi’ is not necessarily an Aalim. The land is awash with such villains masquerading as Ulama when in reality they are *shayaateenul ins*.

It is this type of creatures for whom respect is lost. Our criticism is for Allah’s Sake, and it exposes the villains, scoundrels and munaafiqeen lurking within the community. Hadhrat Yahyaa Bin Muaaz (Rahmatullah alayh) said:

“He who betrays Allah in privacy, Allah will rip off his mask in public.”

ANALYSIS AND COMMENTS OF A LAYMAN

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 26 May 2016 10:15 - Last Updated Thursday, 26 May 2016 12:06

Hadhrat Junaid Baghdaadi (Rahmatullah alayh) said: *“He who searches for respect / honour by means of baatil, Allah will disgrace him with the Haqq.”*

It is salutary to understand that we are that Haqq by means of which Allah Ta’ala rips of the masks of the scoundrels and *shayaateenul ins* within the community.

19 Sha’baan 1437 (26 May 2016)